

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/492,462	01/27/2000	Toru Yamada	016891/0807	9897
22428 7	590 01/26/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	T NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2674	20
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004	, LO

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/492,462	YAMADA, TORU
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this appli : (1) a timely filed amendment wh peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	ication. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) 💆 The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f).	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of th ned statutory period for reply originally set ir	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).		•
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	te below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLELY	Y to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		,
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,6-8 and 12-15</u> .		

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the last office action. In addition, Kori (USPN 5914794) teaches a microcomputer (5) setting the aspect conversion ratio (col. 4, lines 56-58). Kori also discloses a process by which the conversion takes place including outputting a partial area of the digital video picture with a desired aspect ratio (col. 5, lines 52-53). Fig. 16 meets the desired "two steps" except a "second picture data", and to that end, it would have been obvious to utilize Kori's microrocessor and conversion technique to meet the desired "second picture data".